

AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION
SEPTEMBER 2021
VOL. 7 NO. 7

PRATT'S

PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT



LexisNexis

EDITOR'S NOTE: CHANGE

Victoria Prussen Spears

PRESIDENT BIDEN ANNOUNCES SWEEPING NEW CYBERSECURITY REFORMS

Brian E. Finch, Craig J. Saperstein, and Rose Fowler Lapp

2021 COLORADO PRIVACY ACT IS SIGNED INTO LAW

Sharon R. Klein, Alex C. Nisenbaum, and Karen H. Shin

EMERGING TRENDS IN OCR'S RIGHT OF ACCESS INITIATIVE AND IMPLICATIONS FOR BUSINESS ASSOCIATES

Melissa M. Crespo, Dan Kagan, and Eleanor C. Anthony

SECOND CIRCUIT CLARIFIES STANDING INQUIRY IN DATA BREACH ACTIONS

Susanna M. Buergerl, Roberto J. Gonzalez, Jane B. O'Brien, Jeannie S. Rhee, and Steven C. Herzog

HOW TO COMPLY WITH BIPA'S SECURITY REQUIREMENT TO MITIGATE CLASS ACTION LIABILITY EXPOSURE

David J. Oberly

WILL THE FTC'S RULEMAKING PUSH RESULT IN NEW PRIVACY RULES?

Julie O'Neill

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report

VOLUME 7

NUMBER 7

September 2021

Editor's Note: Change

Victoria Prussen Spears

217

President Biden Announces Sweeping New Cybersecurity Reforms

Brian E. Finch, Craig J. Saperstein, and Rose Fowler Lapp

220

2021 Colorado Privacy Act Is Signed into Law

Sharon R. Klein, Alex C. Nisenbaum, and Karen H. Shin

228

Emerging Trends in OCR's Right of Access Initiative and Implications for Business Associates

Melissa M. Crespo, Dan Kagan, and Eleanor C. Anthony

233

Second Circuit Clarifies Standing Inquiry in Data Breach Actions

Susanna M. Buergel, Roberto J. Gonzalez, Jane B. O'Brien, Jeannie S. Rhee, and Steven C. Herzog

239

How to Comply with BIPA's Security Requirement to Mitigate Class Action Liability Exposure

David J. Oberly

244

Will the FTC's Rulemaking Push Result in New Privacy Rules?

Julie O'Neill

248



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:
Deneil C. Targowski at 908-673-3380
Email: Deneil.C.Targowski@lexisnexis.com
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:
Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Web site <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call
Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3362-4 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3363-1 (eBook)

ISSN: 2380-4785 (Print)

ISSN: 2380-4823 (Online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Laura Clark Fey and Jeff Johnson, *Shielding Personal Information in eDiscovery*, [7] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [217] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2021 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt Publication

Editorial

Editorial Offices

630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

(2021-Pub. 4939)

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

EMILIO W. CIVIDANES

Partner, Venable LLP

CHRISTOPHER G. CWALINA

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

RICHARD D. HARRIS

Partner, Day Pitney LLP

JAY D. KENISBERG

Senior Counsel, Rivkin Radler LLP

DAVID C. LASHWAY

Partner, Baker & McKenzie LLP

CRAIG A. NEWMAN

Partner, Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP

ALAN CHARLES RAUL

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

RANDI SINGER

Partner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

JOHN P. TOMASZEWSKI

Senior Counsel, Seyfarth Shaw LLP

TODD G. VARE

Partner, Barnes & Thornburg LLP

THOMAS F. ZYCH

Partner, Thompson Hine

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report is published nine times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2021 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974.

2021 Colorado Privacy Act Is Signed into Law

*By Sharon R. Klein, Alex C. Nisenbaum, and Karen H. Shin**

Colorado has become the third state to adopt comprehensive privacy legislation. Despite similarities to California and Virginia laws, the Colorado Privacy Act includes unique compliance requirements. The authors of this article discuss the new law and advise businesses subject to the law to pay close attention to the differences as they create compliance programs in response to various state privacy laws that will become effective in 2023.

On July 8, 2021, Colorado Governor Jared Polis signed the Colorado Privacy Act (the “Act”)¹ into law. Colorado has become the third state to adopt comprehensive privacy legislation. The Colorado Privacy Act mimics its predecessors, the California Consumer Privacy Act (“CCPA”) and the Virginia Consumer Data Protection Act (“CDPA”), in a number of ways, including by providing consumers the rights to access, obtain a portable copy of, correct, and delete their personal data.

The Colorado Privacy Act also aims to give consumers more control over their personal data by providing consumers with the right to opt out of the sale of personal data and the processing of their personal data for purposes of targeted advertising or profiling, as well as requiring consent to process “sensitive” personal data. Despite similarities to California and Virginia laws, the Colorado Privacy Act includes unique compliance requirements. Businesses subject to the law will need to pay close attention to these differences as they ramp up compliance programs in response to various state privacy laws that will become effective in 2023.

The Act will come into effect July 1, 2023.

APPLICABILITY

The Act seeks to protect “personal data,” which is broadly defined as information that is linked or reasonably linkable to an identified or identifiable individual. Personal data does not include de-identified data or publicly available information.

* Sharon R. Klein is a partner at Blank Rome LLP and chair of the firm’s Privacy, Security & Data Protection Practice. She is certified as an information privacy professional by the International Association of Privacy Professionals. Alex C. Nisenbaum is a partner at the firm advising clients on data privacy and information security laws and regulations. Karen H. Shin is an associate at the firm focusing on privacy and information security matters. The authors may be reached at sharon.klein@blankrome.com, alex.nisenbaum@blankrome.com, and karen.shin@blankrome.com, respectively.

¹ <https://leg.colorado.gov/bills/sb21-190>.

The Act applies to entities that conduct business in Colorado or produce or deliver commercial products or services that are intentionally targeted to Colorado residents and satisfies one or both of the following thresholds: (1) controls or processes the personal data of 100,000 consumers or more during a calendar year, or (2) derives revenue or receives a discount on the price of goods or services from the sale of personal data and processes or controls the personal data of 25,000 consumers or more. A “consumer” is an individual who is a Colorado resident acting only in an individual or household context. Like the CDPA, individuals acting in a commercial or employment context, job applicants and beneficiaries of individuals acting in an employment context do not qualify as consumers under the Act.

EXEMPTIONS

Additionally, similar to the CCPA and CDPA, the Act exempts several entities and types of personal information governed under federal law, including protected health information and de-identified information under HIPAA, financial institutions and nonpublic personal information under the Gramm Leach Bliley Act, information regulated by the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act, and Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, and information regulated by the Driver’s Privacy Protection Act of 1994. The Act also exempts information maintained for employment records purposes.

CONTROLLERS AND PROCESSORS

Like the EU General Data Protection Regulation (“GDPR”) and CDPA, the Act distinguishes between controllers and processors. The obligation of a business under the law depends upon the role of the business with respect to the personal data at issue. A “controller” alone or jointly with others determines the purposes for and means of processing personal data. “Processors” process personal data on behalf of a controller. Controllers bear most responsibilities under the Act.

However, processors have direct obligations to assist controllers with their compliance efforts. Among other responsibilities, processors must take appropriate technical and organizational measures to help controllers respond to consumers’ requests to exercise their rights, provide assistance relating to the security of processing personal data and breach notifications, provide information to allow controllers to conduct and document data protection assessments (“DPA”), and allow for audits by the controller.

Controllers and processors are required to enter into a written contract for the processor’s processing of the controller’s personal data. The Act requires certain provisions to be included in the contract, which emulate the requirements under Article 28 of the GDPR. For instance, the agreement must set forth the type of personal data subject to the processing and the nature, purpose and duration of the processing, only allow

the processor to engage a subcontractor after the processor provides the controller an opportunity to object, and requires the processor to flow down compliance obligations under the Act to subcontractors by written agreement.

CONSUMER RIGHTS

The Act provides consumers the right to opt out of the processing of their personal data for targeted advertising, sale (broadly defined as the exchange of personal data for monetary or other valuable consideration by a controller to a third party), or profiling that produces legal or similarly significant effects concerning a consumer. The Act also provides consumers rights to access, obtain a portable copy of, correct, or delete their personal data.

With respect to the right to opt out, effective July 1, 2024, consumers must be able to exercise their opt-out right through a user-selected universal opt-out mechanism that meets technical specifications to be established by the Colorado Attorney General. The Colorado Attorney General will establish the technical specifications by July 1, 2023. Unlike the CCPA, which makes a global privacy control optional, controllers must comply with the universal opt-out under the Act, which will create complexities in compliance processes for entities subject to the various comprehensive state privacy laws.

Like the CCPA and CDPA, consumers' requests must be verifiable, and a controller may deny a request if the request cannot be authenticated. The Act sets forth other reasons for denying a request, including if the data is pseudonymized (*i.e.*, it can no longer be attributed to a specific individual without the use of additional information) and the controller can demonstrate that the information necessary to identify the consumer is kept separately and subject to effective technical and organizational controls that prevent the controller from accessing such information. However, a consumer must be provided the opportunity to appeal any denials of a request.

Consistent with the CCPA and CDPA, controllers are required to respond to consumers' requests to exercise their rights within 45 days of receiving the request. This time period may be extended an additional 45 days with notice of the delay and the reasons for the delay. Controllers are required not to charge a fee for a consumer's first request but may do so for a second or subsequent request within a 12-month period.

SENSITIVE DATA

The Act affords extra protections for the processing of sensitive data. "Sensitive data" is defined as personal data revealing:

- Racial or ethnic origin;
- Religious beliefs;

- A mental or physical health condition or diagnosis;
- Sex life or sexual orientation;
- Citizenship or citizenship status; or
- Genetic or biometric data that may be processed to uniquely identify an individual or personal data from a known child.

The Act requires controllers to receive a consumer's consent before processing the consumer's sensitive data. Consent must be a clear, affirmative act signifying a consumer's freely given, specific, informed, and unambiguous consent. Consent cannot be obtained by way of acceptance of general or broad terms of use or through "dark patterns."

DATA PROTECTION ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER CONTROLLER DUTIES

Controllers are required to conduct and document a DPA of each of its processing activities that involve personal data acquired on or after the effective date of the Act when conducting processing that presents a heightened risk of harm to a consumer. Processing that presents a heightened risk of harm to a consumer includes processing sensitive data, processing for purposes of targeted advertising, selling personal data, or profiling if there is a reasonably foreseeable risk of financial or physical injury to consumers, among other activities. The Act's examples of processing that presents a heightened risk of harm is not exclusive and so controllers will need to initially evaluate all processing activities to determine whether they potentially fall into this category and require a DPA.

DPAAs must identify and weigh the benefits that may flow, directly and indirectly, from the processing to the controller, consumer, other stakeholders and the public against the potential risks to consumers' rights, as mitigated through safeguards the controller may employ to reduce the risks. Controllers must make the DPA available to the Colorado Attorney General upon request.

In addition to responding to consumer requests and conducting and documenting DPAs, controllers must provide a privacy notice to consumers that includes:

- (1) The categories of personal data collected, processed, and/or shared with third parties;
- (2) The purposes for processing such data;
- (3) The categories of third parties with whom the controller shares personal data;
- (4) How and where consumers may exercise their rights; and
- (5) Whether the controller sells personal data or processes personal data for targeted advertising.

Moreover, controllers have a duty to adhere to certain principles when processing personal data, such as purpose specification, data minimization, avoiding processing for secondary purposes that are not compatible with the specified purpose, using reasonable measures to secure personal data, and avoiding unlawful discrimination. What security measures meet controller duties are not specified. The Act provides that data security practices must be appropriate to the volume, scope, and nature of the personal data processed and the nature of the business. Creating and maintaining internal processes for documenting DPAs and demonstrating that processing activities are being conducted in accordance with the requisite principals will be an important aspect of compliance with the Act.

ENFORCEMENT

The Act is enforced by the Colorado Attorney General and district attorneys and does not provide a private right of action. A 60-day cure period to rectify non-compliance is provided before the Colorado Attorney General or district attorney may take enforcement action. However, this cure period will only be provided until January 1, 2025. Non-compliance with the Act is considered a deceptive trade practice, which can result in civil penalties of up to \$20,000 for each violation up to a total of \$500,000 for any related series of violations.