

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

JUNE 2020

EDITOR'S NOTE: PANDEMIC

Victoria Prussen Spears

THE CORONAVIRUS AND SIMILAR GLOBAL ISSUES: HOW TO ADDRESS THEM

Timothy D. Naegele

**SBA LOAN STIMULUS PACKAGE WILL TRIGGER MORE OVERSIGHT: FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
NEED TO BE PREPARED WITH ROBUST AML COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS**

Joseph G. Poluka and Jed M. Silversmith

COVID-19: UK GOVERNMENT'S LATEST MEASURES TO SUPPORT BUSINESSES

Nallini Puri, Jennifer Maskell, Melissa Reid, Ferdisha Snagg, and Bree Morgan-Davies

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED VOLCKER 2.0 FOR FUND ACTIVITIES

Joseph P. Vitale and Jessica Romano

**FEDERAL RESERVE PROVIDES GREATER CLARITY AND FLEXIBILITY FOR NONCONTROLLING
INVESTMENTS IN (AND BY) BANKING ORGANIZATIONS**

Joseph P. Vitale and Jessica Romano



LexisNexis

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 137

NUMBER 6

June 2020

Editor's Note: Pandemic

Victoria Prussen Spears

283

The Coronavirus and Similar Global Issues: How to Address Them

Timothy D. Naegele

285

**SBA Loan Stimulus Package Will Trigger More Oversight:
Financial Institutions Need to Be Prepared with Robust AML
Compliance Programs**

Joseph G. Poluka and Jed M. Silversmith

306

**COVID-19: UK Government's Latest Measures to Support
Businesses**

Nallini Puri, Jennifer Maskell, Melissa Reid, Ferdisha Snagg, and
Bree Morgan-Davies

310

Summary of Proposed Volcker 2.0 for Fund Activities

Joseph P. Vitale and Jessica Romano

335

**Federal Reserve Provides Greater Clarity and Flexibility for
Noncontrolling Investments in (and by) Banking Organizations**

Joseph P. Vitale and Jessica Romano

347

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)
ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

The Banking Law Journal is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *The Banking Law Journal*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

SBA Loan Stimulus Package Will Trigger More Oversight: Financial Institutions Need to Be Prepared with Robust AML Compliance Programs

*Joseph G. Poluka and Jed M. Silversmith**

With financial institutions issuing an unprecedented amount of Small Business Administration Section 7(a) loans through the CARES Act's Paycheck Protection Program, lenders need to be vigilant and adopt thorough recordkeeping and anti-money laundering compliance programs. The authors of this article discuss the issues.

Congress' unprecedented two-trillion-dollar stimulus package, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the "CARES Act"), includes the "Keeping American Workers Paid and Employed Act," which adds a new program called the "Paycheck Protection Program" (the "PPP") to the Small Business Administration's ("SBA") jurisdiction. The PPP is a \$659 billion appropriation for Section 7(a) loans, a type of SBA loan. Unlike previous Section 7(a) loans, the PPP provides that certain portions of the loan may be forgiven if they are used to continue making payroll or paying rent.

Section 7(a) loans are federally guaranteed, but underwritten by private banks. The PPP is a massive increase in funding. To put things in perspective, the SBA's 2019 Annual Report indicated that the agency made approximately seven billion dollars in SBA loans quarterly, with a portfolio of outstanding loans totaling about \$120 billion. Congress contemplates underwriting \$659 billion in loans over the next approximately 90 days.

Congress also has increased the number of financial institutions that handle these loans. SBA loans have historically been issued within days of an applicant's seeking a loan—sometimes less than one week. The stimulus program will mean billions of dollars being paid out with minimal or no due diligence, in many cases, by lenders who have no experience making SBA Section 7(a) loans. While this program grows exponentially, the primary regulator, the SBA, will have nearly all of its employees sequestered in their homes.

* Joseph G. Poluka is a partner at Blank Rome LLP representing clients in the investigation and defense of a wide variety of criminal, civil, and regulatory matters. Jed M. Silversmith is of counsel at the firm concentrating his practice in white collar litigation, with a particular focus on civil and criminal tax controversy matters. The authors may be reached at poluka@blankrome.com and jsilversmith@blankrome.com, respectively.

The stimulus package is plainly welcome news for the economy, but the surge in lending will no doubt cause significant regulatory growing pains. Under the Section 7(a) program, applicants typically receive their loan proceeds in less than two weeks. The SBA places heavy emphasis on quickly releasing funds to businesses. Despite this rapid turnaround, the loans still have certain due diligence requirements on financial institutions (albeit minimal).

PREVIOUS ENFORCEMENT HAS BEEN LAX

SBA lenders are supervised by the Office of Credit Risk Management (the “OCRM”), which makes risk assessments of lenders. The OCRM has only sparingly conducted audits. For example, the Inspector General reported the following statistics on OCRM reviews of “high-risk” lenders:

FY	Initially Planned	Initially Planned, Completed	Initially Planned, Not Conducted	Unscheduled Reviews Completed
2015	111	34	77	98
2016	63	59	4	27
2017	184	157	27	27
Totals	358	250	108	152

The OCRM identifies lenders who were high risk through a variety of means, including analyzing the lender’s portfolio management, asset management, regulatory compliance, and risk management. These figures will no doubt increase now that the Section 7(a) program is increasing 300 percent. Congress also has created a new Inspector General to help administer the program.

CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF COMPLIANCE

SBA lending increased exponentially in April. Law enforcement already has begun investigating this unprecedented disbursement of funds. Even one corrupt lending official can damage a financial institution’s reputation and its profitability. Therefore, lenders need to be vigilant. The SBA Office of Inspector General has previously recommended that lenders take certain steps, including:

- Development of sufficient management oversight of loan approvals, including the use of multiple “eyes” reviewing the underlying documents that are used in generating credit approval memoranda, at least on larger dollar loans.
- Limits on commissions and other internal inducements that provide incentives for loan officers to concentrate on loan volume at the

expense of loan quality.

- Internal review and auditing functions to examine the reasons why a particular lending official may have an unusually high number of loans that go into early default or experience other significant problems.
- Internal review and auditing functions to examine the reasons why a particular lending official may have a significantly higher loan volume than his/her colleagues.

As part of the emergency rulemaking that was issued on April 2, 2020, the SBA stated that banks must report suspicious activities to the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. These reporting requirements apply to these Section 7(a) loans and must be included in a lender's anti-money laundering ("AML") policies.

LOAN FORGIVENESS IS A CENTRAL PART OF THE PLAN

As noted above, the stimulus package has a loan forgiveness program, the PPP. Borrowers who use loans proceeds to continue making payroll and paying certain operating expenses like rent will be able to seek an abatement of the loan. Under the PPP, lenders are responsible for collecting and reviewing the paperwork that the borrowers submit to obtain their loan forgiveness. Lenders then determine whether the loan should be forgiven. The CARES Act provides that enforcement action or civil penalties will not be pursued if lenders receive the appropriate documentation.

Specifically, under Section 1106(h) of the CARES Act, lenders that receive certain documentation from borrowers will be absolved from liability or a civil enforcement action if the documentation later turns out to be false. In its April 2, 2020, regulations, the SBA stated that the banks may rely on statements from borrowers about their income.

Despite Section 1106(h), under prior regulations, the SBA is released from liability if:

- The lender has failed to comply materially with any Loan Program Requirement for 7(a) loans;
- The lender has failed to make, close, service, or liquidate a loan in a prudent manner;
- The lender's improper action or inaction has placed SBA at risk;
- The lender has failed to disclose a material fact to SBA regarding a guaranteed loan in a timely manner;
- The lender has misrepresented a material fact to SBA regarding a

guaranteed loan; and

- The lender has failed to use required SBA forms or exact electronic copies.¹

This regulation is broadly worded and subject to interpretation. It provides the SBA with ample opportunity to withdraw its guarantee.

Section 1106(h) of the CARES Act provides that the lenders will not be liable if the documents that they receive from a borrower turn out to be fraudulent. However, it is unclear how this provision will be interpreted if a lender ignores warning signs that the documentation that it receives may be inaccurate.

It is not too difficult to imagine a scenario where one or a handful of bank employees devise a scheme to defraud the SBA. Banks that plan to participate in this vitally important program should begin to prepare sufficient compliance programs.

CONCLUSION

The SBA Section 7(a) loans program is a key component of the stimulus package. Lenders should embrace this program, but also should be prepared to adopt a robust recordkeeping and compliance program.

¹ 13 C.F.R. § 120.524(a).