The attorneys and professionals of Blank Rome LLP and Blank Rome Government Relations LLC are uniquely positioned to counsel and represent Marcellus Shale companies regarding all of their business needs. With offices in Philadelphia, New York City, and Washington, DC, Blank Rome is strategically located to meet the needs of Marcellus Shale operators in courtrooms, boardrooms, and before state and federal government.

**Environmental**

The strategic use of natural resources and responsible growth places environmental law at the forefront of business and development concerns surrounding the Marcellus Shale play.

Blank Rome’s nationally-recognized environmental practice provides counsel to clients in every major business sector, including energy, manufacturing, real estate, commercial development, public and private finance and investment, healthcare and transportation. We are strategically positioned to represent companies involved in the development and production of Marcellus Shale in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Our firm has assisted companies involved in the development of the Marcellus Shale play as well as companies seeking to participate or obtain a significant investment in the Marcellus Shale play.

Blank Rome attorneys have been actively monitoring the environmental debate associated with the development of Marcellus Shale, and how this development interfaces with the goals of environmental protection and compliance with environmental laws. We counsel clients in connection with the significant environmental issues arising from exploration and production activities associated with Marcellus Shale development including: allocation of water resources, wastewater and stormwater permitting and management, erosion and sedimentation controls, and groundwater contamination. Given our extensive representation of clients in Superfund and response action litigation in both state and federal Courts, our attorneys have a comprehensive understanding of the technical, factual, and legal considerations that must be evaluated and analyzed in preparing to defend against environmental claims, including groundwater contamination claims.

In that regard, we have unparalleled experience negotiating, settling, or litigating claims with Region III of the Environmental Protection Agency as well as all regional offices of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection.

Our environmental attorneys focus upon every substantive area of environmental law, including:

- Toxic Tort Claims including Groundwater Contamination Claims
- Clean Air Act Permitting and Enforcement
- Clean Water Act Permitting and Enforcement
- Safe Drinking Water Act Permitting and Enforcement
- Civil and Criminal Enforcement and Litigation
- Due Diligence, Compliance Auditing and Environmental Management Systems
- Corporate, Real Estate and Lending Transactions
- Construction and Operating Permits For Project Development
- Underground and Aboveground Storage Tanks
- Natural Resource Damages Claims
• Toxic Substances Control Act
• Resource Conservation and Recovery Act And Hazardous Waste Management
• Superfund and Voluntary Remediation Programs (such as Pennsylvania’s Land Recycling Act)
• Hazardous Materials Transportation Act

We have particular experience in the following areas:

Environmental Permitting and Compliance

In a constantly evolving regulatory environment, it is essential to have a proactive compliance strategy. We counsel clients across the nation on compliance issues arising under all major state and federal environmental laws. These laws include: the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act, the Safe Drinking Water Act, Superfund and state voluntary cleanup laws, RCRA and state solid and hazardous waste management laws, community and worker right-to-know laws, and the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act. In addition, we assist clients with environmental permitting, land development, facility siting, reporting obligations, and meetings with federal and state regulatory agencies related to compliance matters. We conduct environmental, health, and safety compliance audits to determine the scope and extent of compliance issues, if any, and the corrective actions that must be undertaken, if required. Finally, we work with clients and consultants to design environmental management systems to address any issues identified during the scope of the auditing program.

Environmental Due Diligence and Risk Management

In any business transaction, the ability to quantify and qualify potential risk can ensure a successful outcome. Blank Rome’s environmental attorneys team up with other attorneys in our corporate and financial groups in connection with complex private and public commercial transactions. Our services include identifying, quantifying, qualifying, allocating, and documenting environmental issues and matters related to asset and stock acquisitions, sales of industrial and commercial companies (both private and public), credit agreements and secured financings, and strategic investments in industrial and commercial properties. We also assist our clients obtain environmental insurance coverage when they wish to minimize environmental and business risks and ensure a successful transaction.

Environmental Enforcement and Litigation (Civil, Criminal, and Administrative Proceedings)

Our environmental attorneys provide representation in federal and state, civil and criminal enforcement actions and with respect to judicial consent decrees, administrative compliance orders, civil penalty assessments, natural resource damages assessments, and citizens’ suit actions to enforce federal and state environmental laws. We also litigate complex, multi-party actions involving cost-recovery, cleanup-cost allocation, and "toxic tort" claims involving issues related to releases of contaminants to the environment including groundwater. Moreover, we have prosecuted and defended against claims before state and federal agencies, including the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and the Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board.
Select Engagements

The Blank Rome Environmental Team includes lawyers who:

• Defended a major oil and gas company charged in 97 counts of federal criminal indictment alleging conspiracy, false statements, and violations of the Clean Air Act and CERCLA. Successfully resulted in the government’s dismissal of all charges against four individuals and two corporate entities, and with the entry of a guilty plea to one false statement count by a subsidiary company.

• Assisted an international chemical company in several internal investigations in connection with potential violations under various state and federal environmental statutes, and negotiated a resolution of the findings with EPA and the respective state environmental agencies.

• Currently represent several interests in the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

• Counseled clients in responding to federal and state grand jury subpoenas for alleged environmental violations, and conducted related internal investigations.

• Represented chemical manufacturers, oil refiners, pipeline operators, and other manufacturers in responding to federal and state grand jury subpoenas for alleged environmental violations, and conducted related internal investigations.

• Conducted environmental, health and safety compliance audits for manufacturers, educational institutions, and service-related companies to identify potential and actual violations of environmental laws to assist with the development of environmental management systems, and to provide counsel regarding required disclosures to government agencies and applicable federal and state immunity policies.

• Provided ongoing compliance counseling to a manufacturer of chemical products seeking to address notices of violations under RCRA, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act and assisted the company with the preparation of a compliance strategy and environmental plans to implement the strategy.

• Assisted clients in responding to malfunctions and explosions that occurred during the manufacture of specialty chemicals. Blank Rome also assisted a client with air permitting, enforcement, and cleanup issues related to another explosion.

• Provided environmental counsel to a privately held construction company involved in highway construction and quarry operations, regarding the expansion of its businesses in connection with permits, agency meetings, negotiations, and community relations.

Specific Engagements Under Pennsylvania’s Environmental Laws

Clean Water Act and Pennsylvania Clean Streams Law

• Representation of a Publicly Operated Treatment Works ("POTW") in connection with: enforcement actions against various entities that have pre-treatment permits; litigation involving EPA, regulatory interpretation of the Clean Water Act and Clean Streams Law, litigation with DEP in connection with permit terms and requirements.

• Representation of a Company that owns and operates several rock mining quarries in connection with: obtaining Clean Water Act permits, enforcement of permit requirements by DEP and coordination of studies involving wastewater discharges to surface water bodies.
• Representation of a Company that manufactures recreational products in a potential enforcement action by POTW and EPA and assisted the company with respect to compliance issues related to discharges of color (or dye) into a surface water body.

• Representation of a major environmental contractor involved in the remediation of a Superfund NPL site adjacent to the Delaware River in an enforcement action filed by EPA and DEP with respect to wastewater discharges from the site into the Delaware River.

• Representation of a casino owner with respect to: obtaining permits from DEP and the Army Corps of Engineers (Philadelphia District) in connection with the siting of a casino adjacent to the Delaware River.

• Representation of a potato chip manufacturer in negotiations with DEP regarding a Consent Order in connection with its wastewater treatment facility involving the treatment of wastewater from manufacturing operations to a lagoon and spray fields.

• Representation of a casino owner with respect to the design and implementation of a wastewater treatment system to be located onsite at the casino and obtaining permit approvals from state and local authorities.

• Representation of the City of Reading in connection with a lead-contaminated park and lake in regard to remediation of such and engaged in negotiations with DEP and EPA.

Clean Air Act and Pennsylvania Air Pollution Control Act

• Representation of a Company that owns and operates several rock mining quarries with respect to the generation of asbestos containing dust associated with rock production and conducted an air exposure evaluation and obtained several Clean Air Act permits for the mining operations.

• Representation of numerous companies, utilities and POTWs in Pennsylvania with respect to obtaining Clean Air Act Permits, negotiated the terms of such permits with EPA and DEP, and provided counsel regarding enforcement actions filed by DEP and EPA with respect to violations of such permits.

• Negotiated various enforcement cases with the City of Philadelphia and DEP with respect to violations of federal and state Clean Air Act permits for a barge operator and a data resource company.

• Representation of a Municipal Authority with respect to a citizen suit action contesting the issuance of a permit to a waste-energy facility.

• Represented a POTW before the Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board in an appeal of a regulation promulgated by DEP pertaining to the need for radioactive monitors to be placed at the facility.

• Evaluated the Clean Air Act Permit requirements applicable to an independent power producer project involved in the combustion of coal refuse.

• Representation of a chocolate manufacturer with respect to an enforcement proceeding filed by DEP in connection with the discharge of air pollutants without a permit.
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Solid Waste Management Act

• Representation of a Municipal Authority in connection with obtaining a permit for a landfill and provided counsel for the management of fly ash and bottom ash generated from its waste-to-energy facility

• Representation of a POTW with respect to management of its sludge generated through the wastewater treatment plant processes.

• Representation of various municipalities in Pennsylvania in connection with implementation of their Solid Waste Management Plans which included the preparation of municipal ordinances, and coordination with DEP and local community interest groups.

• Representation of various owners and operators in connection with the enforcement by DEP or EPA for solid, residual or hazardous waste violations.

• Representation of a Company interested in the purchase of a landfill in order to generate landfill gas for power production.

• Representation of numerous developers in connection with developing residential or commercial sites pursuant to the Pennsylvania Land Recycling Act (or Act 2).

Superfund and Hazardous Sites Cleanup Act

• Representation of a Company negotiating with a large Fortune 500 manufacturer with respect to contamination caused by the past manufacturing operations that caused PCE releases and PCE vapor intrusion in residential homes.

• Representation of a Municipal Authority with respect to the EPA investigation and remediation of NPL Superfund Site; filed a cost-recovery action under Superfund and represented 30 plus municipalities.

• Representation of various utilities with respect to negotiations with Department of Justice and EPA and implementation of a remedy for various NPL Superfund Sites located throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

• Representation of a Chrysler automobile dealership in multi-party litigation pertaining to leaking USTs.

• Representation of a Company engaged in negotiations with DEP and EPA regarding the release of PCBs at a site.
Oil and Gas, Groundwater, and NORM Litigation

Speculation about a possible connection between hydraulic fracturing and groundwater contamination, and the potential for Marcellus Shale production to generate waste containing naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) has fueled opposition to industry’s drilling, production and waste management practices, as well as lawsuits. Plaintiffs should not be permitted to recover damages for groundwater contamination simply by demonstrating that Marcellus Shale operations occurred near their property and that their wells contain elevated concentrations of certain contaminants. Critical and scientific evaluation of reports and other information concerning the potential link between Marcellus Shale production activities and environmental problems is essential to reasoned decisions in the courtroom. This is especially true for claims involving radiation and groundwater contamination.

The hallmark of Blank Rome’s work on matters involving oil and gas production, groundwater and NORM is the use of reliable and accurate scientific data. Blank Rome’s Marcellus Shale trial team lawyers have a thorough understanding of scientific concepts in the fields of hydrogeology, petroleum engineering, geology, radiation protection, and toxicology—disciplines which must inform any lawsuit involving claims for damages from natural gas exploration and production. Our lawyers are known for providing efficient, focused solutions to complex scientific problems that would otherwise consume extensive time and effort on the part of litigation counsel who are less familiar with the science.

The Blank Rome Marcellus Shale trial team includes trial lawyers who:

- have a nationally-recognized radiation practice with a proven record of successfully defending oil and gas clients in cases involving NORM/TENORM and other oil field production materials, as well as matters involving groundwater contamination, nuclear energy, uranium mining, and atomic weapons testing
- have secured a complete defense verdict, recently affirmed by the Kentucky Supreme Court, for our client in a trial involving NORM and groundwater contamination claims in a Kentucky oil field
- serve as national coordinating counsel and lead trial counsel for a multi-national chemical manufacturer in groundwater contamination cases involving the gasoline additive MTBE
- have achieved significant results in defending MTBE groundwater claims—ninety percent of the cases have been resolved through dismissals without liability
- have successfully defended claims that exposures to radiation and oil and gas waste materials caused various diseases, including cancers
- assisted a major oil and gas company client in obtaining denial of class certification in a state court case in which residents of the community surrounding a large oil field pipe-cleaning facility claimed that they had been injured by NORM from the facility
- obtained summary judgment in complex groundwater contamination cases against a nuclear power company arising from radioactive tritium leaks

Blank Rome’s trial lawyers assist business entities and individuals in a wide variety of complex civil actions and arbitration matters. Blank Rome has been retained to defend single entities in discrete suits, to defend large companies, to provide litigation support in complex, multi-district litigations and class-action lawsuits, and to serve as national counsel for other entities in the defense of a multitude of cases. No matter what the nature and scope of the matter, Blank Rome seeks the most favorable
resolution under the circumstances for the defendant. Our clients benefit not only from our enviable track record of successful resolution of litigated and arbitrated disputes, but also from our steadfast commitment to keeping abreast of the legal issues and developments affecting the energy industry.

Blank Rome’s Marcellus Shale trial team lawyers are actively involved in investigating and researching matters involving the Marcellus Shale. They have authored papers regarding hydraulic fracturing regulations, NORM in the Marcellus Shale, and recent groundwater contamination claims. Copies of these materials are available upon request.

**Tax**

Blank Rome’s tax attorneys are frequently called upon to assist public utilities and independent power producers with their most significant tax planning and controversy matters. We have extensive experience advising clients in the energy industry on complex state and local tax with state regulatory, FERC, NRC and PUHCA issues.

The most significant issue at present with respect to Marcellus Shale is the General Assembly passing a bill to impose a severance tax on natural gas. Blank Rome tax attorneys can address legislative efforts resulting in either thwarting the proposed new severance tax or assisting to craft palatable legislation.

Blank Rome has represented and continues to represent many utilities and energy companies on state tax matters in Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Ohio. Our recent representations include:

- Successfully resolved significant Pennsylvania tax issues for Duke Energy, PECO Energy, New Jersey Natural Gas Company and Duquesne Light Company
- PECO Energy Company in a Pennsylvania tax matter in which more than $60 million is at issue and which is scheduled to be argued before the Pennsylvania Supreme Court next month.
- New Jersey Natural Gas Company in matters before the New Jersey Tax Court and have represented Dayton Power & Light Company in Ohio tax matters.
- In addition, Blank Rome lawyers have provided state tax advice on transactions to the following companies: Cinergy, PEPCO, Duke Energy, Conectiv, Edison Mission Energy, AmerGen, Duquesne Light Company, Equitable Gas, Allegheny Energy, FirstEnergy, Exelon, Northern States Power, ConEd and Louisville Gas & Electric.

Blank Rome’s tax practice is comprised of creative lawyers who are dedicated to solving their clients’ issues. This talented group takes pride in routinely turning their clients’ problems into opportunities. The attorney’s experiences include those of a high-level government official, Internal Revenue Service litigator, and “Big 4” national practice leaders.

The Blank Rome tax practice recognizes that every tax issue has a governmental, financial and legal dimension. Because the Blank Rome tax practice brings together a group of energetic lawyers with governmental, financial and legal experience, they are uniquely capable of viewing tax issues from these three perspectives. This skill set allows them to forge creative solutions that deliver exceptional value to their clients.
**Government Relations**

Blank Rome Government Relations LLC, a leading lobbying and strategic communications firm, offers counseling to companies on oil and gas matters involving executive policy or legislative input and action. We also monitor and comment upon state and federal agency rulemakings and pending state and federal legislation.

Blank Rome Government Relations currently represents several clients on the complex array of regulatory discussions, severance tax legislation, and other policy matters surrounding the Marcellus Shale play before the Pennsylvania Legislature, the Governor’s office and the Administration.

**Public Utility Regulation**

Blank Rome has extensive experience in representing clients on public utility matters, including matters involving providers of electricity, natural gas, and telecommunications. Our work has included the interpretation of statutes for regulation and deregulation of such industries; frequent representation of clients in all types of formal proceedings before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and other state and federal governmental regulatory bodies; representation of clients both seeking and opposing regulatory approval of mergers and acquisitions; representation of clients in appeals of regulatory orders; and drafting, negotiation, and interpretation of contracts and tariffs.

**Energy**

Blank Rome’s energy team advises clients on a broad range of energy industry sectors and transactions, both across the United States and globally. What distinguishes Blank Rome’s approach is our focus on clients’ business and financial goals and our deep understanding of industry sector dynamics. We work with our clients, not only to bring established methods to bear on traditional problems, but to achieve unique, competitive advantage in an industry that faces volatile markets and constantly shifting regulatory demands.

Our attorneys assist clients across a broad variety of energy-related sectors, including:

- oil & gas exploration, development and production
- oil & gas pipeline development and transportation
- LNG liquefaction, transportation, shipping, regasification, offtake arrangements and distribution
- vessel construction, chartering and finance
- oil & gas storage facilities
- petrochemicals, refining and marketing
- power generation and transmission
- power sales, trading and marketing
- alternative and renewable energy
- cleantech
Our practice includes representing clients on all aspects of energy transactions including:

- mergers, acquisitions and asset transfers
- project development and finance
- shipping and transportation
- sales, marketing, trading and hedging transactions
- federal and state regulatory matters
- land use and real estate matters
- construction and permitting
- environmental compliance
- tax
- import & export, customs and international trade matters
- venture capital
- distressed assets and creditors’ rights
- public finance
- insurance
- litigation

Our clients include project sponsors and developers from global majors to entrepreneurs, financial institutions, governmental agencies, private equity firms, public utilities, independent power producers, design and construction firms, service providers and industrial and commercial energy consumers.

Oil & Gas

Blank Rome’s attorneys have many years of experience advising project sponsors, lenders, contractors and other commercial participants on the development, financing and purchase and sale of upstream, midstream and downstream oil & gas projects, assets and operations. Our attorneys have advised on:

- exploration and production
- pipeline development and transportation
- LNG liquefaction, transportation, shipping, regasification, offtake arrangements and distribution
- vessel construction, chartering and finance
- oil & gas storage facilities
- offtake agreements
- petrochemicals and refining
- sales, trading and marketing agreements

Our lawyers’ experience spans the “value” chain, having advised clients on transactions involving upstream exploration and production, liquefaction, shipping and transportation, downstream processing and offtake arrangements. This experience enables us to anticipate how upstream and downstream issues can affect the objectives of clients participating in individual projects within the chain, and to ensure that these issues are properly addressed.
Energy Project Development & Finance

Blank Rome's energy team is recognized for structuring complex and innovative project finance transactions, including public-private partnerships, and for its ability to develop novel and tailored solutions to clients’ problems. Our attorneys have advised sponsors, lenders, equity investors, governmental agencies and contractors on all aspects of project development and finance transactions including:

- joint venture and shareholder agreements
- acquiring, negotiating and disposing of leases, concessions and licenses
- due diligence, structuring, risk allocation and “bankability” analysis
- financing and security documentation
- feedstock supply agreements
- construction, equipment purchase, tolling and operation and maintenance agreements
- transportation and interconnection arrangements
- offtake, sales and marketing and hedging agreements
- regulatory approvals
- site acquisition, land use and real estate matters
- environmental permitting and compliance
- tax
- insurance
- intercreditor matters

Blank Rome’s attorneys have particular experience structuring complex financings involving multiple sources of finance, including equity investors, commercial banks, governmental agencies, vendors, bondholders, insurers and hedge providers, and resolving the complex issues that can arise among the numerous parties to such transactions.

Energy Land-Use & Real Estate Tax

Blank Rome’s land use and real estate tax attorneys provide land use, zoning, and real estate tax advice to a variety of clients and in matters of varying complexity throughout. Our general areas of representation include:

- zoning and land-use due diligence
- zoning approvals
- land development and subdivision approvals
- building and related permits
- real estate tax analysis and due diligence
- real estate tax assessment and valuation appeals
- real estate tax exemptions and abatements
Margaret Anne Hill  Partner  
Philadelphia, PA  v. 215.569.5331  f. 215.832.5331  
Washington, DC  v. 202.772.5811  
MHill@BlankRome.com  

Margaret Hill has more than 20 years of experience representing private and public clients in all aspects of state and federal environmental law. She represents and counsels a broad range of clients in a wide array of industries including chemical and pharmaceutical companies, corporate and banking clients, cities and municipalities, mining companies, and developers. Ms. Hill assists clients with navigating the complexities of their business in the following areas:

- environmental legislative and regulatory compliance—assessments, permits, audits, and the due diligence process
- civil and criminal enforcement actions under CERCLA, RCRA, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act and TSCA and state law equivalents
- litigation of complex issues involving private cost recovery and cleanup cost allocation
- corporate internal investigations to identify potential violations of environmental laws
- leveraged lease transactions, leveraged buy-outs, and strategic investments of industrial and commercial properties
- assets and stock acquisitions, including multi-facility industrial and commercial companies
- credit agreements, secured financings, and project finance deals
- brownfields redevelopment including land use issues involving land restrictions and controls, and the ownership and use of riparian rights

Ms. Hill has authored numerous articles in the area of environmental law and has given several presentations to clients and peers involving environmental laws and issues.

Ms. Hill has federal appellate experience and has also engaged in legislative efforts as a registered lobbyist on behalf of clients before the United States Congress. Prior to entering private practice, she was employed by the Department of Justice as a trial attorney in the Environment and Natural Resource Division. Ms. Hill also worked for Gulf Oil Corporation as a senior policy analyst for domestic and policy planning.

Ms. Hill serves as the Chairperson of Blank Rome’s Chemical Industry Team.

Ms. Hill has received the highest possible rating from Martindale-Hubbell.

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- Representation of pharmaceutical and chemical manufacturers, as well as private equity investors and hedge funds, in multi-million dollar transactions involving chemical companies, mining operations, shipyards, etc. in which environmental risks and liabilities were critical to the structure of the transaction and investment analysis, as well as stockholder approvals
- Representation of a large government defense contractor in a RCRA enforcement proceeding, representation of a large chemical
manufacturer in a multi-media enforcement inquiry, representation of a marine transportation company in a Clean Air Act enforcement proceeding, representation of a private healthcare company in a Clean Air Act asbestos enforcement matter, and representation of a shipyard owner in an EPCRA enforcement proceeding.

- Representation of casino owners and private developers in the development and/or expansion of commercial and residential projects requiring approvals and permits for redevelopment of contaminated properties and permits for state and federal wetlands, landfill closures, erosion and sedimentation plans, and historically designated properties.
- Representation of public and private entities in multi-party state and federal litigation involving cost-recovery and indemnity claims as well as contribution claims for contamination and remediation being conducted under Superfund and RCRA, as well as similar state laws.
- Representation of casino developers in connection with the redevelopment of contaminated property adjacent to riverfront property and evaluation of riparian land issues.
Jeffrey S. Moller  Partner
Product Liability, Mass Torts, Insurance Practice Group Leader
Philadelphia, PA  v. 215.569.5792  f. 215.832.5792
Moller@BlankRome.com

Jeffrey Moller is the leader of Blank Rome's Products Liability, Mass Tort and Insurance Litigation Practice Group. Jeff is an experienced maritime law practitioner who has parlayed his tort litigation experience into successful representations in shoreside toxic tort and environmental contamination cases. Over the course of 25+ year career as a litigator in private practice, he has developed expertise in the following areas:

- Mass tort and product liability litigation
- Environmental litigation
- Maritime casualty, cargo, and contract litigation
- Marine construction disputes
- Maritime lien and ship mortgage enforcement
- Marine insurance coverage matters
- USCG license suspension and revocation

Mr. Moller is a 1976 graduate of the United States Coast Guard Academy. His commissioned Coast Guard career included service afloat as a qualified deck watch officer aboard the Coast Guard’s largest class of High Endurance Cutters, and ashore as a marine pollution and casualty response officer in the port of Philadelphia.

Mr. Moller has been a proctor member of the Maritime Law Association since 1988 and currently serves as chairman of the MLA's Committee on Regulation of Vessel Operations. He is the business editor of the Journal of Maritime Law and Commerce. He was a contributing writer to the current edition of The Law of Tug, Tow, and Pilotage, published by Cornell Maritime Press. He has contributed articles on maritime security, criminal prosecution, and the Jones Act to such publications as Bender's Maritime Law Advisory and Marine News, and spoken before such organizations as the Society of Maritime Arbitrators and the Association of Commercial Finance Attorneys.

Mr. Moller has received the highest possible rating from Martindale-Hubbell.

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

Mr. Moller has advised and represented prominent petroleum and chemical manufacturers, tankship and tank barge owner/operators, towing vessel companies, marine insurers, commercial lending institutions, and individual licensed pilots and officers. He has also served as a maritime arbitrator. Representative matters include:

- National coordinating counsel service to a global chemical manufacturer in a national multi-district litigation pertaining to environmental resource damage
- Protracted litigation and arbitration of a multi-million dollar dispute between a dredging subcontractor and general contractor involving both contract and vessel damage claims
- Multi-million dollar trial verdict on behalf of the owner of a Philadelphia tank terminal against a mechanical contractor and its foundation subcontractor for catastrophic structural damage to the facility
Countless Jones Act, cargo damage, collision, grounding, allision, and oil spill investigations and litigations

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

Mr. Moller's primary volunteer and pro bono interests include long time service to the Philadelphia area United Way's Community Impact Committee and Philadelphia Volunteer Lawyers for the Arts. He is a member of the East Norriton (PA) Planning Commission.
Mark S. Greenfield  Partner  
Los Angeles, CA  v. 424.239.3481  f. 424.239.3437  
Greenfield@BlankRome.com

Mark S. Greenfield focuses his practice on corporate finance. He concentrates on helping clients with:

- mergers and acquisitions
- public offerings and private placements
- syndications
- corporate formations
- corporate reorganizations
- corporate governance
- general corporate counseling

Mr. Greenfield represents clients in a broad range of industries including energy, technology, biotechnology, software, healthcare, medical devices, pharmaceutical, manufacturing, gaming, hospitality, entertainment, and financial services. He also regularly provides expert testimony in the areas of corporate governance and healthcare, and he has served on numerous corporate boards, including audit committee assignments.

Mr. Greenfield is a noted speaker and writer, and he is a recognized authority in negotiation strategy and in positioning corporate clients for strategic growth. Recent topics that he has addressed include:

- “Financing Your Company for Quantum Growth”
- “Successfully Renegotiating Bank Credit Facilities During a Credit Crunch”
- “Take Your Negotiating Skills to the Next Level”
- “Rethinking and Reevaluating the Responsibilities and Exposure of Outside Corporate Directors”
- “Bank Holding Company Reorganizations”

Mr. Greenfield has received the highest possible rating from Martindale-Hubbell.

**REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

Mr. Greenfield has advised clients in a broad spectrum of business matters, including:

- Management/private equity partnered buy-out of a manufacturing subsidiary from its NASDAQ parent
- Structuring and formation of multiple-layered Hong Kong companies to facilitate a sales and distribution network in mainland China
- Acquisition of manufacturing facilities in Guangzhou and Fuzhou, China
- Merger into a United Kingdom, London Stock Exchange listed, company of a specialized software developer and distributor
- Reset and recapitalization in connection with the successful exit of a private equity firm
- Renegotiation of credit facilities for a manufacturing company and for a hospitality company
- Multiple acquisitions to support the growth of a manufacturing company focused in the hobby-crafts industry
- Multiple acquisitions and joint ventures to support the growth of an entertainment industry client
- Acquisition of multiple casino locations for a Nevada-based casino operator
- Financing for the manufacturer of medical devices used in orthopedics
- Financing for a developer of health care products utilizing a unique biochemical composition
- Financing and subsequent acquisition for an oil and gas exploration and production company
- Acquisition of assets from a Chapter XI proceeding
- Formation and initial public offering for a bank holding company
- Expert testimony in corporate governance and healthcare relating to the bankruptcy of a community hospital
- Strategizing and implementing safety compliance program in Australia for an international manufacturing client

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

Mr. Greenfield is a member of the Board of the Directors of Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, where he serves as a member of the Executive Committee and as Chair of the Audit Committee. He is past chair of the Associates of Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, a Patron of Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, a Benefactor of UCLA, and a Founder of the Los Angeles Music Center. Mr. Greenfield is a hearing officer for the Los Angeles Police Department and a former member of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles Police Foundation. He also served for more than 20 years as a member of the Board of Trustees of the UCLA Foundation. Mr. Greenfield is an active member of the World Presidents' Organization. Previously, he was a member of the Young Presidents' Organization for 14 years.
Kevin J. Bruno has 25 years of experience in complex commercial litigation, concentrating his practice in environmental, mass tort, products and insurance recovery litigation.

Mr. Bruno’s experience includes:

- Defending clients against lawsuits and criminal investigations brought by the government pursuant to State and Federal environmental programs, including CERCLA, RCRA and TSCA
- Representing clients in connection with private party cost recovery actions, alleged violations of the Clean Water Act and internal environmental audits and investigations
- Representing policyholders in State and Federal courts in some of the largest, most complex environmental insurance coverage litigations, as well as policyholders in their pursuit of insurance coverage for products, intellectual property and director & officer liability losses
- Representing clients in mass tort litigation, including lawsuits relating to alleged exposure to products, chemicals and/or alleged hazardous materials
- Counseling and representing clients in products liability litigation, often times in connection with efforts to obtain insurance coverage for the defense of such claims
- Representing a New Jersey hospital in dozens of cases arising out of employment of a nurse who confessed to being a serial killer, secured favorable settlements in each case for our client, representation included determination of insurance coverage and working with the carrier

Mr. Bruno was selected to the BTI Client Service All-Stars, a listing of 113 attorneys based on interviews of more than 250 in-house corporate counsel at large and Fortune 100 companies.

Mr. Bruno has received the highest possible rating from Martindale-Hubbell.

Before joining Blank Rome, Mr. Bruno was a founding member of Robertson, Freilich, Bruno & Cohen, LLC.

He served as Judicial Law Clerk to the Honorable Nicholas Scalera, Assignment Judge, Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County (1983–1984).

Mr. Bruno is the Co-Chair of the ABA’s Section of Litigation Environmental Litigation Committee and is the Section’s Liaison to the ABA’s Standing Committee on Environmental Law.

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- Mr. Bruno represents one of the nation’s largest global logistics and
transportation companies in all environmental and insurance-related claims and litigation.

- On behalf of one of the nation’s largest media conglomerates, Mr. Bruno successfully pursued insurance coverage against 85 insurance companies under 580 policies for environmental liabilities, obtaining more than $200 million in recovery.
- On behalf of one of the nation’s largest manufacturers of bedding products, Mr. Bruno successfully represented the client in a private cost recovery action initiated under CERCLA, and a separate insurance recovery action.
- Mr. Bruno represented the alleged successors to the United States Radium Corporation in nearly 15 years of litigation and trials relating to radium-sourced products and tailings. These matters included mass tort, products liability and property damage claims, as well as environmental claims asserted by USEPA and USNRC.
- On behalf of a manufacturer of latex gloves, Mr. Bruno successfully pursued insurance coverage for hundreds of products liability claims throughout the US. He also assisted the client and defense counsel regarding a comprehensive settlement of all products-related claims.

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

Mr. Bruno has been appointed to several Leadership positions within the American Bar Association (ABA). In August 2008, he was appointed chair of the Environmental Litigation Committee of the Section of Litigation. In August 2009, he was appointed the Section of Litigation’s liaison to the ABA’s Standing Committee on Environmental Law. In August 2010, he was appointed as co-chair of the Environment Litigation Committee for the Litigation leadership team. This one-year term appointment is in recognition of his earned reputation as one of the nation’s leading environmental litigation attorneys. In this role, Mr. Bruno will assist with positioning the Committee to respond quickly to the hottest environmental issues facing the legal profession, and further the Committee’s goal of helping members become better environmental litigators.

Mr. Bruno is also an adjunct professor of environmental law at the Stevens Institute of Technology in Hoboken, New Jersey.
Joseph F. Speelman  Partner
Houston, TX  v. 281.298.8230  f. 281.298.6797
Speelman@BlankRome.com

Joseph F. Speelman concentrates his practice in the areas of litigation, corporate security, insurance, and compliance. He has pursued corporate interests through the use of effective plaintiffs’ counsel in disputes with national and international opponents.

During the course of his career, Mr. Speelman has been involved in claims involving:

- antitrust,
- complex environmental issues,
- mass tort litigation (including lead paint, asbestos, benzene, and MTBE litigation),
- internal corporate investigations, and
- complex international claims involving entities owned by foreign sovereigns.

Mr. Speelman currently manages MTBE litigation and Urethanes anti-trust litigation, both on the behalf of LyondellBasell, working as a partner in Blank Rome. Recently, Mr. Speelman accepted a retention by the Millennium Custodial Trust to represent the Trust in all of the lead litigation that it has responsibility to defend and manage as a result of the emergence by LyondellBasell from Chapter 11 and the creation of the Trust.

Prior to joining Blank Rome, Mr. Speelman presided over the management of litigation and commercial legal activities for several companies, including Lyondell, Koch Industries, Inc., TransAmerican National Gas Corporation, and KG&E.

Mr. Speelman is a frequent speaker on, and has written numerous articles about, a variety of legal topics including litigation management, joint defense organization and functioning, mass tort litigation, defense of environmental criminal investigations, and the reduction of litigation costs while improving results.

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

Mr. Speelman founded and has been president of the Future Fund Foundation, a 501(c)(3) not for profit organization in support of public school education in The Woodlands, Texas. That foundation has contributed over $200,000 in 6 years to public schools in The Woodlands.

Mr. Speelman is a member of the Kansas Bar Association, the Texas Bar Association, and the International Association of Defense Counsel (IADC).
Nicholas Giannasca has more than 20 years experience representing a broad array of clients participating in the electric utility industry, including utilities, utility regulators, power marketers, energy service companies, investment banks, private equity firms, hedge funds, and commercial/industrial customers. He counsels clients in connection with:

- utility asset acquisitions and divestitures
- electric regulatory compliance under the FPA, PURPA, PUHCA, and state statutes
- independent power development
- wholesale power transactions and exchanges
- energy-related derivatives
- economic development tariffs and contracts
- generation interconnection
- O&M/EPC agreements
- standby electric service, net metering and distributed generation
- administrative and civil proceedings related to industry restructuring, utility tariffs and contracts, QFs, economic development and generation interconnection
- retail access markets for electric and gas
- renewable energy certificates
- renewable portfolio standards

Mr. Giannasca heads Blank Rome’s Energy Industry Group.

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- Solar generation developer in connection with transmission, interconnection, power sale and REC matters
- Utility in sale of fossil generation fleet in New York and Pennsylvania
- Energy service company in refinancing and merger transaction
- Business development company and its portfolio company in the acquisition of a New York energy service company
- Financial institution in connection with the development of a merchant transmission line from Canada to the U.S.
- Private equity fund in connection with investment in refuse-fueled generation fleet
- Independent power producer in the development of generation facilities in ERCOT
- Various utilities in connection with the sale of nuclear generation facilities
- Financial institutions, private equity funds, and hedge funds in the acquisition and divestiture of equity interests in electric generation and transmission assets
- Large commercial/industrial customers in the purchase of electric power

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

On a pro bono basis, Mr. Giannasca represents the San Miguel Academy of Newburgh, NY, which operates a middle school, on tax and corporate matters.
Ernest W. Chung  Partner
New York, NY  v. 212.885.5447  f. 917.332.3855
EChung@BlankRome.com

Ernest Chung concentrates his practice in the areas of project finance and development, with a focus on cross-border and emerging markets transactions. He advises financial institutions, sponsors, governments and multilateral institutions in the development and financing of major natural resource, infrastructure and energy projects, particularly in a cross-border context. He has experience representing clients in emerging markets, including the countries of the former Soviet Union, Latin America, the Middle East, Africa and Asia.

Mr. Chung’s practice also includes a wide variety of matters such as acquisition, equipment, trade and structured finance transactions and general corporate and commercial law.

Mr. Chung has been cited as a leading individual in the Projects (Nationwide) category by Chambers, and has been listed in Legal Media Group’s Guide to the World’s Leading Project Finance Lawyers.

Mr. Chung serves as the Chair of the Committee on Project Finance of the Association of the Bar of The City of New York, and is a member of the American Bar Association’s Sections on Business Law, and on Environment, Energy and Resources. He is also a member of the International Bar Association’s Sections on Energy, Environment and Natural Resources, and on Infrastructure; and a member of the Association of International Petroleum Negotiators.

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

Mr. Chung is active in pro bono and community matters. He led a team under the auspices of the American Bar Association and the United Nations Development Program which advised on the drafting of a comprehensive new Minerals Law for Afghanistan. He is on the Executive Committee of the Business Advisory Council for the Greater Tumen Initiative, a multilateral organization focused on the development of the Northeast Asian region.
Mary Ann Mullaney is an established trial and appellate attorney with considerable experience in complex litigation. In federal and state courts, as well as in arbitrations and mediations, Mary has represented businesses, governmental entities and individuals in a wide variety of complicated disputes.

Commercial litigation is a major focus of Mary’s practice, and she litigates claims of fraud, breach of contract, antitrust violations, unfair competition and related business torts. But her complex litigation practice also encompasses such diverse areas as professional malpractice, civil rights, product liability, employment, corporate governance, intellectual property, and environmental contamination, among others. Over the course of twenty years in practice, Mary has tried both jury and non-jury trials; additionally, she has briefed and argued numerous appeals.

Mary regularly lectures and writes on developments in the law or practice of litigation, including those specifically affecting the chemical industry and those in the area of electronic discovery. She has served as a guest lecturer at Temple University School of Law and Widener University School of Law. Additionally, Mary is a former adjunct instructor at Seton Hall University School of Law, where she taught appellate advocacy.

On July 15, 2008, Mary was inducted as a Fellow in the Litigation Counsel of America, a trial lawyer honorary society composed of less than one-half of one percent of American lawyers.

**REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

- In federal district court, tried, with three other trial lawyers, a $650 million fraudulent conveyance suit arising from a leading food and beverage company’s spin-off of various businesses, and achieved a defense verdict for that company on all claims, which verdict was upheld on appeal.
- In a state suit initiated by a former licensee against its licensor, obtained dismissal of contract claims seeking $200 million; that victory served as the catalyst for favorable resolution of the licensor’s contemporaneous trademark and contract suit against its former licensee in federal court.
- After a company in the trademark licensing business prevailed at trial, secured orders awarding it more than $8.3 million in attorneys’ fees and costs.
- In the representation of a state insurance commissioner in her capacity as liquidator of an insurance company, pursued malpractice litigation against that company’s outside actuaries and accountants, which litigation settled for $40 million.
- In suits concerning the operation and management of a distressed city school district by a for-profit entity, successfully defended the commission established to run that district in trial and appellate courts.
- Favorably resolved a putative Fair Labor Standards Act class action for restaurant owners.
- For a national bank, secured dismissal in state and federal courts of virtually identical actions seeking in excess of $100 million and asserting various constitutional and civil rights violations.
- On behalf of a major chemical manufacturer, authored the brief opposing
the motion for class certification in a suit alleging a gasoline additive had contaminated groundwater; the trial court denied certification and its order was affirmed on appeal.
Ray Mullady, a partner in Blank Rome’s Washington, DC office, leads the firm’s pharmaceutical and medical device product liability practice. Mr. Mullady is a trial lawyer with over 25 years of commercial litigation experience, including more than 20 jury trials. Drawing on his extensive trial experience in a number of areas, Mr. Mullady concentrates in helping life sciences companies successfully navigate high-stakes product liability litigation.

Mr. Mullady has defended clients in some of the most celebrated product litigation of the past three decades, obtaining key victories for manufacturers of prescription medications, childhood vaccines, blood products, medical devices, herbicides, chemical, and tobacco products.

He has tried cases to verdict in state and federal courts throughout the country and before arbitration panels, and has briefed and argued cases before numerous federal and state appellate courts.

Mr. Mullady writes and lectures frequently on liability prevention, defense strategy, and other litigation topics. Publications include:

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- **Wyeth/Pfizer.** Mr. Mullady has represented Wyeth in numerous product liability actions involving thimerosal-containing childhood vaccines, diet medications, DTP and oral polio vaccines, tetracycline, anti-depressants, and over-the-counter products.

- **Roche Molecular Systems.** Mr. Mullady was counsel for Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. and Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc. in *U.S. ex rel. Promega v. Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc.*, et al., a *qui tam* case in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. The case involved patents and licensing programs relating to Roche's Nobel Prize-winning polymerase chain reaction ("PCR") process for amplifying DNA for research, diagnostic and forensic uses. Mr. Mullady led a team of lawyers who obtained a dismissal of the case with prejudice in September 2004.

- **American Cyanamid Company.** Mr. Mullady represented American Cyanamid in *Worm v. American Cyanamid Co.*, 970 F.2d 1301, on *remand*, 1992 WL 386062, *aff'd* 5 F.3d 744 (4th Cir. 1993), a herbicide product liability case in which he obtained summary judgment on the basis of federal preemption. He argued the case twice before the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, each time obtaining an affirmation of the trial court's preemption ruling. Mr. Mullady also represented American Cyanamid in a series of lead pigment-in-paint cases pending in state and federal courts around the country. The cases included actions on behalf of states, municipalities, and governmental units, private classes and individuals, and involved both personal injury and property damage claims.

- **Sioux City Air Crash Defendant.** Mr. Mullady represented a defendant in 18 wrongful death and personal injury cases filed in the Baltimore City, Maryland state court arising out of the 1989 Sioux City, Iowa air crash disaster involving the MDL DC-10 aircraft. Although the plaintiffs alleged that the design of the hydraulic systems that operated the aircraft's flight controls was defective, discovery established (and the National Transportation Safety Board found) that the probable cause of the accident was a fatigue crack originating from a previously undetected metallurgical defect in the stage 1 fan disk manufactured by a co-defendant. Mr. Mullady first-chaired all motions, hearing and court appearances in the Maryland cases and was lead counsel on all discovery and motions practice in that venue.

- **Major Tobacco Company.** Mr. Mullady was regional counsel for a major tobacco company in individual smoker litigation in three mid-Atlantic states and represented the corporation in the Maryland Attorney General's medical cost reimbursement case against the major tobacco companies. He also represented the tobacco company in a class action lawsuit that was decertified on mandamus by the Maryland Court of Appeals.

- **Intellectual Property.** In 2002, Mr. Mullady tried to verdict a four-week patent infringement case involving an over-the-counter drug product in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In 2001-2002, he was lead counsel on behalf of the inventor of a revolutionary protease inhibitor AIDS treatment drug in a US $1 billion fraud and breach of contract case, which was resolved.

- **W.R. Grace Bankruptcy.** Mr. Mullady was lead trial counsel for the court-appointed representative of future asbestos personal injury claimants in *In re W.R. Grace & Co.*, *Case No. 01-1137 (JKF)*, in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. Following several weeks of trial in the Spring of 2008, W.R. Grace reached an agreement with the present and future asbestos claimants that would allow it to emerge from bankruptcy.

- **Daubert/Frye-Reed.** Mr. Mullady has experience litigating *Daubert* and *Frye-Reed* challenges to "junk science" and unreliable plaintiff expert testimony. In 2009, he was part of a team of lawyers who extended the boundaries of *Frye-Reed* in Maryland to preclude expert testimony hypothesizing that the presence of the preservative thimerosal in childhood vaccines causes neurological defects, such as autism. *Blackwell v. Wyeth, Inc.*, *Court of Appeals of Maryland*, No. 112, September Term 2008 (May 7, 2009). In 1996, he secured the
disqualification of the lead, national plaintiff expert in tire/rim “mismatch” personal injury litigation, in the District of Nebraska. He argued the appeal of that decision before the Eighth Circuit, and obtained an affirmance of the lower court’s Daubert ruling in Pietzmeier v. Hennessy Industries, Inc., 97 F.3d 293 (8th Cir. 1996), cert denied 117 S.Ct. 1552 (1997). This case was a precursor to the Supreme Court’s landmark decision in Kuhmo Tire, Ltd. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), holding that Daubert applies not only to testimony based on scientific knowledge, but also to testimony based on technical or other specialized knowledge.

- **First Amendment.** Mr. Mullady has represented media outlets as libel and First Amendment counsel. In 2000, he tried to verdict a two-week libel case involving a public figure plaintiff in the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County, Maryland.
Christopher A. Lewis  Partner
Philadelphia, PA  v. 215.569.5793  f. 215.832.5793
Lewis@BlankRome.com

Christopher Lewis concentrates his practice in commercial and administrative litigation for regulated industries, representing clients chiefly in the energy and insurance industries. Mr. Lewis’s practice focuses on regulatory approval of mergers and acquisitions, promotion of competitive wholesale and retail energy markets, licensing, regulatory compliance, procurement issues, and business and contractual disputes. Mr. Lewis’ insurance practice includes defense of bad faith and extra-contractual liability claims, as well as defense of class-action lawsuits.

Mr. Lewis has extensive experience in state government. He served as Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Governor Robert P. Casey, where he headed the Pennsylvania Department of State. Mr. Lewis also held the position of Executive Deputy General Counsel to the Governor of Pennsylvania, where he provided legal advice to the governor and his cabinet, while overseeing the work of all the attorneys in the executive agencies of Pennsylvania government.

From 2000 through 2004, Mr. Lewis served as a member of the Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania, the state agency that investigates allegations of ethical misconduct against Pennsylvania judges, and in 2004 he served as Chairman of the Judicial Conduct Board.

In 2008, Mr. Lewis was selected as an Elector for the 2008 Pennsylvania Electoral College.

Mr. Lewis was a member of the National Finance Committee for President Barack Obama. He also served as a delegate to the Democratic National Convention, pledged to Sen. Obama, elected from the 7th Congressional District in Pennsylvania. Mr. Lewis also serves on the Finance Committee for U.S. Senator Robert P. Casey, Jr.

COMMUNITY SERVICE & AFFILIATIONS

Mr. Lewis serves on the Board of Trustees of Drexel University College of Medicine and is a member of the Executive Committee of the College of Medicine. Mr. Lewis also serves on the Council of Trustees of West Chester University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Lewis is a director of Arthur Ashe Youth Tennis and Education and of the Greater Philadelphia Urban Affairs Coalition.

Mr. Lewis is a member of the Philadelphia Alumni Chapter of Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity, Inc., and of Sigma Pi Phi Fraternity, Alpha Boule.
James T. Smith has more than 27 years experience in complex commercial litigation and white collar criminal defense, nationwide. He chairs Blank Rome’s litigation department, where he oversees 200 attorneys included within seven practice groups in nine cities. Mr. Smith serves both corporate and individual clients in a wide variety of industries including technology, transportation, healthcare, aerospace and defense, in disputes involving fraud and abuse, intellectual property, corporate governance, government and internal investigations, white collar criminal defense, and breach of contract and related claims and many other areas.

Mr. Smith served as a law clerk to the Honorable Genevieve Blatt of the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania.

Chambers USA recognizes Mr. Smith as a leader in general commercial litigation. Chambers notes “considered a brilliant all-round trial lawyer, [he] enjoys a loyal client following.” Mr. Smith has received the highest possible rating from Martindale-Hubbell.

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- Publicly traded medical device manufacturer—complex criminal, civil, and administrative representations involving off-label promotion
- Publicly traded videogame manufacturer—secured summary judgment against plaintiffs in a $600 million claim involving theories about alleged effects of violent videogames
- Publicly-traded defense contractor—negotiated highly favorable resolution of criminal and civil fraud prosecution
- Publicly traded branding company—jury awarded approximately $45 million in compensatory damages and $5 million in punitive damages following a three-month jury trial involving breach of contract, fiduciary duty, trademark infringement, conversion, and other claims
- Transportation systems service provider—awarded $30 million in a six-week arbitration involving claims that shareholders of a newly acquired Texas company misrepresented its financial condition
- Financial services software provider—bankruptcy litigation arising from the acquisition of a business solutions provider for $825 million; the financial services software company was ultimately declared the successful bidder and the transaction closed successfully
- Aerospace and defense company—three-month jury trial involving breach of contract, fraud, and other claims against a Texas company and other companies, resulted in a $9 million award for our client
- Food-processing company—class-action claims involving toxic-tort exposure to children while in utero, in which plaintiffs ultimately withdrew complaint. Trial court subsequently sanctioned plaintiffs’ counsel for $1 million in fees and costs
- Defense company—arbitration where claimant sought $175 million in damages for breach of contract and related claims; claimant was awarded nothing
- Publicly-traded major defense contractor—obtained multi-million dollar jury verdict for claims including theft of intellectual property
- Individual victim of severe personal injury—secured jury verdict in excess
of $20 million